



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,772	12/01/2003	Luis Serra	57450/1141	3809

35743 7590 11/03/2006

KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT  
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS  
NEW YORK, NY 10036

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, PHU K

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2628

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/725,772	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SERRA, LUIS	
	<b>Examiner</b> Phu K. Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2628	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 June 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.



**PHU K. NGUYEN**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
**GROUP 2300**

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by ROCKRO et al. (Planning and Simulation of Neurosurgery in a Virtual Reality Environment).

As per claim 1, Rockro teaches the claimed “method of displaying 3D data”, comprising: “subdividing a 3D display region into two or more display subregions” (Rockro, page 123, column 2, section Segmentation; and page 126, figure 6 shows an example of subdivisions of tumor, sinus, and cerebral arteries); “assigning a set of display rules to each display subregion” (Rockro, page 124, column 1; section Visualization; and page 126, figure 6 shows the different colors assigned to tumor, sinus, and cerebral arteries); “displaying part or all of a 3D data set in each display subregion according to the rules assigned to that display subregion” (Rockro, page 126, figure 6 shows the blue tumor, pink sinus, and red arteries).

Claim 2 adds into claim 1 “the 3D data set displayed in each display subregion is the same, but the display rules are different” (Rockro, for marking purpose, the voxels are painted and changed to different colors; page 125, column 1).

Claim 3 adds into claim 1 “the 3D data set displayed in each display subregion is

Art Unit: 2628

unique to that display subregion” (Rockro, Data Registration, page 122, column 2; the data is registered uniquely for each display subregion).

Claim 4 adds into claim 3 “the 3D data sets displayed in each display subregion are 3D scans of a human or animal body or portion thereof using different sensing modalities” (Rockro, the fusion of CT, MRI data sets; page 123, column 1).

Claim 5 adds into claim 4 “said sensing modalities comprise one or more of CT, MR, PET, SPECT and US” (Rockro, the fusion of CT, MRI data sets; page 123, col. 1).

Claim 6 adds into claim 1 “the display subregions comprise volumes, 2D surfaces, and points” (Rockro, the displayed 3D objects comprising 3D volume, 2D slice, or points; page 124, figures 3-4).

Claim 7 adds into claim 1 “the 3D display region is a rectangular crop box” (Rockro, the cut, crop, clip tools; page 124, column 1, section Surgical Planning).

Claim 8 adds into claim 7 “the display region is divided into two display subregions whose mutual boundary is a plane” (Rockro, the side plans of the box divides the object into individual segments; page 128, figure 7).

Claim 9 adds into claim 1 “a user can define one or more boundary planes that

Art Unit: 2628

divide the display region into two or more display subregions" (Rockro, the cut or clip tool; page 124, column 1, section Surgical Planning).

Claim 10 adds into claim 9 "the boundary planes are parallel to one or more surfaces of the display region" (Rockro, the processed object is displayed with any orientation according to its rotation; page 124, column 1, or page 125, column 1).

Claim 11 adds into claim 1 "the boundaries of the display subregions and the set of display rules for each display subregion are defined by a user" (Rockro, the user manipulation of object's color; page 124, column 1, section: visualization).

Claim 12 adds into claim 11 "the boundaries of the display subregions and the set of display rules for each display subregion are defined by system defaults which can be modified by a user" (Rockro, Restoration/Modification of the default original color; page 125, column 1).

Claim 13 adds into claim 1 "the boundaries of the display subregions may be varied by a user during the display, such that points in a 3D data set now located in a new display subregion are displayed according to the corresponding new display rules in substantially real time as the boundaries change" (Rockro, page 124, column 1 to page 125, column 1; section Visualization and Surgical Planning).

Art Unit: 2628

Claim 14 adds into claim 13 "said variation of the boundaries of display subregions includes one or more of translation, rotation, scaling, shear, linear warping or non-linear warping" (Rockro, page 124, column 1 to page 125, column 1; section Visualization and Surgical Planning includes the object manipulations).

Claim 15 adds into claim 1 "all points in the display region associated with a given display subregion need not be contiguous" (Rockro, the example of bone removal displays two separate parts of the surgery bone; page 125, column 2).

Claim 16 adds into claim 11 "a user defines or modifies said boundaries and/or display rules via an interactive object within the display" (Rockro, page 124, column 1 to page 125, column 1; section Visualization and Surgical Planning includes the object manipulations).

Claim 17 adds into claim 12 "a user defines or modifies said boundaries and/or display rules via an interactive object within the display" (Rockro, page 124, column 1 to page 125, column 1; section Visualization and Surgical Planning includes the object manipulations).

Claim 18 adds into claim 11 "a user defines or modifies said boundaries and/or

Art Unit: 2628

display rules via a mouse, trackball, joystick or other spatial 2D input peripheral”

(Rockro, the 2D interface in page 119, column 1 or the virtual stylus in figure 1 page 122 and figure 2, page 123).

Claim 19 adds into claim 12 “a user defines or modifies said boundaries and/or display rules via a mouse, trackball, joystick or other spatial 2D input peripheral”

(Rockro, the 2D interface in page 119, column 1 or the virtual stylus in figure 1 page 122 and figure 2, page 123).

Claim 20 adds into claim 1 “the 3D data set displayed in each display subregion is stored as one of volume raster data or geometric constructs” (Rockro, page 122, column 1, section Data Loading).

Claims 21-22 claim a computer program based on the method of claims 1-20; therefore, they are rejected under the same reason.

Claim 23 adds into claim 1 “one or more 3D data sets are displayed in each display subregion” (Rockro, the Fusion of CT and MRI image data; page 123, col. 1).

Claim 24 adds into claim 1 “the same 3D data set is displayed to each display subregion” (Rockro, the display data is rendered from one or more data set).

As per claim 25, Rockro teaches the claimed "method of displaying 3D data in a 3D display system", comprising: "loading one or more 3D data sets into a 3D display system" (Rockro, data loading; page 122, column 1); "subdividing a 3D display region into two or more display subregions" (Rockro, page 123, column 2, section Segmentation; and page 126, figure 6 shows an example of subdivisions of tumor, sinus, and cerebral arteries); "assigning one or more 3D data sets to each display subregion; assigning a set of display rules to each display subregion" (Rockro, page 124, column 1; section Visualization; and page 126, figure 6 shows the different colors assigned to tumor, sinus, and cerebral arteries); "displaying visible portions of a 3D data set in each display subregion according to the rules assigned to that display subregion" (Rockro, page 126, figure 6 shows the blue tumor, pink sinus, and red arteries).

Claim 26 adds into claim 25 "one of the 3D data sets is displayed in each display subregion" (Rockro, the Fusion of CT and MRI image data; page 123, col. 1).

Claim 27 adds into claim 25 "only one 3D data set is displayed in each display subregion" (Rockro, the display data is rendered from one or more data set).

Claim 28 adds into claim 3 "the 3D data sets displayed in each display region are



Art Unit: 2628


surface renderings of polygonal data sets" (Rockro, skin surface of the MRI medical object; page 124, column 2).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu K. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272 7645. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (571) 272 7664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Phu K. Nguyen  
October 26, 2006

  
PHU K. NGUYEN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 2300